## SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 PUBLIC LAW 110-343

# TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM USDA FOREST SERVICE

Name of Resource Advisory Committee:

**Project Number** (Assigned by Designated Federal Official):

Funding Fiscal Year(s): 2014

2. Project Name: 2630-060 Stabilization & Closure	3a. State: WA 3b. County(s): Jefferson
4. Project Submitted By: Olympic NF – Marc McHenry	5. Date: 3/25/2014
6. Contact Phone Number: 360-765-2231	7. Contact E-mail: mmchenry@fs.fed.us

8. Project Location:	
a. National Forest(s): Olympic	b. Forest Service District: Hood Canal
c. Location (Township-Range-Section)	

#### 9. Project Goals and Objectives:

This project will reduce existing and potential road-related sediment from delivering to Rocky Brook and the Lower Dosewallips River. Rocky Brook is habitat for resident rainbow trout. The lower Dosewallips River is habitat for ESA listed summer chum, Chinook, and steelhead. Reducing road-related sediment is critical to the continued restoration of salmon and steelhead habitat in the Lower Dosewallips River.

#### **10. Project Description:**

a. Brief: (in one sentence)

The project would stabilize and restore the hydrology on FSR 2630-060 by removing all culverts and associated road fill from stream crossings and installing cross drains along the 1.6 mile road.

#### b. Detailed:

Only the first 0.5 mile of FSR 2630-060 is drivable. The remainder of the road has not been maintained for many years. Removing the existing culverts and associated road fills and installing cross drains along the 1.6 mile road will eliminate maintenance needs and prevent culvert washouts and erosion that would deliver sediment to both trout and ESA listed salmon and steelhead habitat. This project is part of a larger project to treat the 2630 road system (6.8 miles), which has been identified as a high risk road with the potential to deliver sediment to critical fish habitat. Using Title II funds to complete work on FSR 2630-060 will allow us to leverage other grant opportunities through the Salmon Recovery Funding Board and EPA to accomplish the much larger road treatment project.

Field surveys of the road to identify necessary restoration treatments are complete.

Version: January 2009

11. Types of Lands Involved?				
State/Private/Other lands involved?  Yes No Land Status:				
If Yes, specify:	If Yes, specify:			
12. How does the proposed project meet purpos	ses of the Legislation? (Check at least 1)			
Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.				
Implements stewardship objectives that enhance for	rest ecosystems.			
Restores and improves land health.				
Restores water quality				
13. Project Type a. Check all that apply: (check at least 1)				
⊠ Road Maintenance	☐ Trail Maintenance			
Road Decommission/Obliteration	☐ Trail Obliteration			
Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):				
Soil Productivity Improvement	☐ Forest Health Improvement			
	☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration			
☐ Fish Habitat Restoration	Control of Noxious Weeds			
Reestablish Native Species	☐ Fuels Management/Fire Prevention			
☐ Implement CWPP Project	Other Project Type (specify):			
b. Primary Purpose (select only 1): Watershed Restorat	ion & Maintenance			
14. Identify What the Project Will Accomplish				
Miles of road maintained: 1.6 miles				
Miles of road decommissioned/obliterated:				
Number of structures maintained/improved:				
Acres of soil productivity improved:				
Miles of stream/river restored/improved: ~ 1 mile (redu	ncing risk of large sediment input to Rocky Brook)			
Miles of fish habitat restored/improved: ~ 1 mile (reducing risk of large sediment input to Rocky Brook)				
Acres of native species reestablished:				
Miles of trail maintained:				
Miles of trial obliterated:				

Acres of forest health improved (including fuels reduction):			
Acres of rangeland improved:			
Acres of wildlife habitat restored/improved:			
Acres of noxious weeds controlled:			
Timber volume generated:			
Jobs generated in full time equivalents (FTE) to near	rest tenth. One FTE is 52 forty hour weeks: 0.3 FTE		
People reached (for environmental education project	ets/fire prevention):		
Direct economic activity benefit:			
Other:			
15. Estimated Project Start Date: 7/2014	<b>16. Estimated Project Completion Date:</b> 10/2014		
This project is part of a larger project to treat the entire FSR 2630 road system. The Forest Service is partnering with the Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group to seek SRFB funds to treat the rest of the FSR 2630 road system.  18. Identify benefits to communities. The project will benefit the surrounding community by providing jobs during project implementation.  19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources? This project will help the Forest Service protect fish habitat and restore watershed conditions.			
20. What is the Proposed Method(s) of Acco	mplishment? (check at least 1)		
⊠ Contract	☐ Federal Workforce		
County Workforce	Volunteers		
Grant	Agreement		
Americorps	☐ YCC/CCC Crews		
☐ Job Corps	Stewardship Contract		
Merchantable Timber Pilot	Other (specify):		
21. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?   Yes   No			
22. Anticipated Project Costs			
a. Title II Funds Requested: \$50,000			
b. Is this a multi-year funding request?  Yes No			

## 23. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding:

## **24.** Monitoring Plan (provide as attachment)

a. Provide a plan that describes your process for tracking and explaining the effects of this project on your environmental and community goals outlined above.

This project is aimed at preventing negative effects to aquatic resources before they occur. Culverts targeted for removal are undersized and have a substantial amount of fill placed on top of them. If no action is taken, the culverts will eventually fail and the fill will be washed down to Rocky Brook and the Dosewallips River and negatively impact important fish habitat. Physical stream characteristics will be used to track the effects of this project and other restoration projects within the watershed. Indicators of successful restoration efforts include continued pool development, continued re-vegetation of the riparian area, and stable and decreased amounts of fine sediment.

b. Identify who will conduct the monitoring:

The FS fisheries biologist will monitor fish habitat conditions in Rocky Brook.

c. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Worksheet 1, Item k):

The FS will provide funding for fisheries biologist to conduct future monitoring.

25. Identify remedies for failure to comply with the terms of the agreemen	t.
If project cannot be completed under the terms of this agreement:	

If project cannot be completed under the terms of this agreement:
☐ Unused funds will be returned to the RAC account.
Other, please explain:

**Project Recommended By:** 

/s/ (INSERT Signature)
Chairperson

Resource Advisory Committee

**Project Approved By:** 

/s/ (INSERT Signature)
Forest Supervisor

National Forest

### **Project Cost Analysis Worksheet**

Worksheet 1

Please submit this worksheet with your proposal

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Column B Requested Title II Contribution	Column C Other Contributions	Column D Total Available Funds
a. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$2,000 (Completed)			\$2,000
b. NEPA/CEQA	\$1,500			\$1,500
c. ESA Consultation				
d. Permit Acquisition				
e. Project Design & Engineering	\$1,500			\$1,500
f. Contract/Grant Preparation				
g. Contract/Grant Administration	\$5,000			\$5,000
h. Contract/Grant Cost		\$50,000		\$50,000
i. Salaries				
j. Materials & Supplies				
k. Monitoring				
1. Other				
m. Project Sub-Total	\$10,000	\$50,000		\$60,000
n. Indirect Costs				
o. Total Cost Estimate	\$10,000	\$50,000		\$60,000

#### NOTES:

- a. Pre-NEPA Costs
- g. Includes Contracting/Grant Officer Representative (COR) costs. Excludes Contracting/Grant Officer costs.
- i. Cost of implementing project
- 1. Examples include overhead charges from other partners, vehicles, equipment rentals, travel, etc.
- $\ensuremath{\text{n.}}$  Contracting/Grant Officer costs, if needed, are included as part of Indirect Costs.